

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/062,365	01/31/2002		Baljeet Singh Baweja	AUS920010968US1	2933
23550	7590	04/22/2004		EXAM	INER
		ICK & D'ALESSA	PHAN, T	PHAN, THANH S	
	3 E-COMM SQUARE ALBANY, NY 12207			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,				2841	

DATE MAILED: 04/22/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	/	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
יט	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Commencies	10/062,365	BAWEJA ET AL.					
Offic Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Thanh S Phan	2841					
Th MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RITHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory provided to the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a n. a reply within the statutory minimum of thi eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO statute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	26 January 2004.						
	This action is non-final.						
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the applica	ation.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) 20-23 is/are with	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>20-23</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are rejected.	Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	nd/or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exa	miner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by th	e Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document of the priority document of the priority document of the certified copies of the application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a comparison of the certified copies of the application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a comparison of the certified copies of the application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a claim for for any application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a claim for for any application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a claim for for any application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a claim for for any application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a claim for a	nents have been received. nents have been received in A priority documents have beer ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage					
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)					
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/St Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>1/31/02</u>. 		(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Art Unit: 2841

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election with traverse of claims 1-19 in Paper No. 1/26/04 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that no burden is placed on Examiner to search both claimed inventions. This is not found persuasive because burden is shown by differing classifications, a significant burden is placed on the examiner to search both claimed invention.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 8, 9, 13, 14 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Applicant needs to clarify what is intended by the "disengaging" alarm clock limitation. It is unclear as to whether the alarm clock is "turned off", or the disengagement is to prevent/"turn off" the system designating alarm signals from producing the alarming signals.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1- 5, 7, 11 and 15-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Arai [US 5,966,346].

Regarding claims 1, 2, 4, 5 and 7, Arai discloses an alarm clock comprising a system for designating distinct and different alarm signals [column 6, lines 17-20]; and a snooze mechanism [rotary bezel 3] for deactivating a first designated alarm signal and automatically activating a second designated alarm signal after a predetermined time [abstract].

Regarding claims 3, 12, Arai further disclose the first alarm signal has a different volume level than the second alarm signal [column 2, lines50-56].

Regarding claims 15-18, the methods steps are inherent to the apparatus structure.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Arai in view of Guyett et al. [US 6,147,935].

Arai discloses the claimed invention except for the first and second signal use different harmonics.

Guyett et al. teaches of an alarm clock system having a plurality of pleasant sounds [column 8, lines 15-26].

Art Unit: 2841

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to incorporate the teaching of Guyett et al. with Arai for the purpose of providing pleasant awakening of the sleeper.

Page 4

Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Arai in view of Guyett et al. [US 6,310,833].

Regarding claim 10, Arai discloses the claimed invention except for a limit maximum snooze quantity.

Guyett et al. teach that it is known in an alarm clock system to have a limit maximum snooze quantity as set forth at column 7, lines 61-63. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to incorporate the teaching of Guyett et al. with Arai so that the user may avoid falling back into a deep sleep.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Rodgers [US 5,339,294]; Thorgersen et al. [US 5,524,101]; Oprea [US 5,323,456]; Bromley et al. [US 5,819,263].

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thanh S Phan whose telephone number is 571-272-2109. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:00-5:00.

Art Unit: 2841

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David S Martin can be reached on 571-272-2107. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

tsp

DAVID MARTIN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800